#### THE AUDIT PROCESS

#### AUDIT REPORT REFERENCING

#### APPROVAL/TRANSMITTAL

This manual section provides policy and procedures for the audit referencing of performance and financial audits. This supersedes IG-7323, dated March 31, 1993. Remove and destroy previous editions.

ROGER C. VIADERO
Inspector General

TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Page

	<del></del>
A. BACKGF	ROUND1
B. POLICY.	1
C. PROCED	URES2
Exhibit A	Checklist for Referencing Audits/Evaluations
Exhibit A-1	Financial Audits Additional Checklist for Referencing Financial Statement Audit Reports
Exhibit A-2	Financial Audits Additional Checklist for Referencing SAS 70 Audits
Exhibit B	Referencer's Comment Sheet
Exhibit C	Referencer's Verification of Resolution

January 10, 2000

A. <u>BACKGROUND</u>. Referencing is an independent examination and verification of the quality of the audit/evaluation report and the work performed. This manual section describes the method and process for performing a referencing review. For purposes of performing referencing of a financial statement audit, the "report" is defined as including the report of the OIG (audit opinion), the report on internal control structure, and the report on compliance with laws and regulations.

Referencing is an important part of the overall quality control system of OIG. Referencing is an analytical, as well as validation, process. Although steps are specifically designed to trace and agree facts, figures, dates, etc., from workpapers to reports, and from one section of a report to another, procedures also call for assessments of critical audit assertions, such as the adequacy of evidence and reasonableness of conclusions.

#### B. POLICY

- 1. Referencing is to be conducted on all audit and evaluation reports and is in addition to, but does not supplant, supervisory review of reports and workpapers in advance of referencing. The additional provisions and requirements of the <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, or the <u>Quality Standards for Inspections</u>, promulgated in other OIG directives, but not specifically tested by this referencing methodology, are to be met throughout the course of the review and addressed during the overall quality control process. In addition, OIG's independently performed Quality Assessment Reviews provide an integral internal control to foster reasonable assurance that standards are consistently achieved. Referencing, therefore, is an incremental segment of quality control but should not be construed as all encompassing.
- 2. The examination is to be conducted by a referencing team composed of an ARIG, a senior auditor, and other audit staff as deemed necessary who were not involved with the audit under review. The referencing ARIG is responsible directly to the RIG for ensuring the careful and thorough performance of the referencing function.
- 3. The referencing process will not be initiated until the ARIG responsible for the audit has ensured that the workpapers are in order and that the draft report is accurate, complete, and properly cross-referenced to the workpapers. The ARIG and the lead auditor will note on exhibits A, A-1, and/or A-2 that the audit is ready to be referenced.
- 4. The criteria to be used by the referencing team are the requirements set forth in the IGM's. The referencing team must be alert to, and report on, any instances of noncompliance with any of the IGM's.

(B)

5. Referencing should be conducted (a) prior to the issuance of the discussion draft report, and (b) prior to the issuance of the final report, if necessary. The referencing of the final report will be limited to addressing substantive changes that were made to the report since it was last referenced. The audit ARIG is responsible for bringing substantive changes to the attention of the RIG. The RIG will then determine if a follow-on referencing job is warranted. If so, the referencing ARIG will ensure its effective and timely completion, to include a determination of whether the "critical data analysis" steps need to be reaccomplished (e.g., if new findings have been added or existing findings and recommendations materially revised).

Although a matter of judgment, a "substantive change" should basically be viewed as any revision which affects the accuracy of the data reported, conclusion reached, or recommendations made. In many cases, data in reports change after the draft is issued. Findings are often adjusted based on the auditee's comments or to rebut the auditee's nonconcurrences, at times introducing new data in the rebuttal.

6. The AIG may require a special referencing review to be conducted on any report. These review teams will be under the direct supervision of the AIG, be composed of auditors from other regional offices, and will perform specific tests and examinations directed by the AIG. The review process and results will be documented, and the review results will be discussed with regional management. The AIG will provide the review team with directions on resolving and communicating the review results.

#### C. PROCEDURES.

1. The formats for documenting and resolving the results of referencing reviews are attached as follows:

Exhibit A - Checklist for Referencing Audits/Evaluations

Exhibit A-1 - Financial Audits -- Additional Checklist for Referencing Financial Statement Audit Reports

Exhibit A-2 - Additional Checklist for Referencing SAS 70 Audits

Exhibit B - Referencer's Comment and Resolution Sheet

Exhibit C - Referencer's Verification of Resolution

- 2. The referencing process should be initiated through the convention of the RIG, the audit team, and the referencing team. The audit team should discuss the work performed and the report in general. The RIG should provide any specialized instructions to the referencing team.
- 3. The referencing ARIG is then to give the report a "cold read," and draw an overall substantive conclusion regarding the quality of the report. Broadly, the objectives are to determine if the findings are significant, supported, and make a persuasive and convincing case that the auditee needs to change its operation. In addition, the recommendations should be assessed to determine if they are reasonable, achievable, and cost beneficial in light of the findings. Issues arising from this review should be discussed with the audit ARIG immediately and changes made accordingly. If so, the referencing process would be suspended at this juncture until the report has been revised. The RIG should become involved as needed.
- 4. The critical data analysis segment of the referencing review should be performed by a senior auditor; the data verification and validation segment can be handled by a GS-12 or below under the direction of the senior. The referencing checklist is segmented accordingly.
- 5. The referencing ARIG is responsible for ensuring the completion of the quality control review of the audit's statistical sampling (see step B8g) and that the documentation is in the referencing file.
- 6. At the completion of the referencing review, the referencing ARIG will sign and date the checklist. The audit and referencing teams shall then meet with the RIG to discuss the results of the review. The RIG may dictate immediate corrective action or allow the resolution process to unfold in accordance with the subsequent steps.
- 7. All items noted during the referencing review which require corrective action will be recorded on the attached exhibit B. The lead auditor shall document on exhibit B agreement or disagreement with the referencing auditor's comments. If there is agreement, the lead auditor should, with the concurrence of the audit ARIG, make the requested changes to the report.
- 8. If the lead auditor disagrees with the referencing comments, with the concurrence of the audit ARIG, the reasons will be documented and the file returned to the referencing team. The referencing ARIG shall review the response and determine whether the actions, or reasons for not taking actions, are appropriate given the referencing comments. The Referencer's Verification of Resolution on the attached exhibit C will then be executed.

IG-7323

(C)

- 9. If the unresolved items continue, the referencing ARIG should discuss them with the audit ARIG who will resolve them or document on exhibit C the basis for the disagreement, and sign and date the form.
- 10. The referencing file will then be provided to the RIG, who shall review the referencing file, make and document a final decision regarding the unresolved comments, sign and date exhibit C, and return the referencing file to the audit ARIG for appropriate action.

oOo

#### CHECKLIST FOR REFERENCING AUDITS/EVALUATIONS

AUDIT/ TITLE_	/EVALUATION NO		
I have re	eviewed the report and workpaper	rs and determined that th	ney are ready to be referenced.
Se	enior Auditor	Date	
A	RIG	Date	
Referen	cing Date		
Discussi Final Re	ion Draft eport		Referencer Response Yes No * N/A**
2.	Executive Summary. The Execution alone, concisely presents a persurbigh level reader that significant proposes meaningful recommen summarizes the remainder of the Introduction	uasive case to the t problems exist, dations, and properly	
	a. The Background provides in reader needs to understand a shows the nature, purpose, a entity's responsibilities and legislative requirements, an It also shows any significant unusual circumstances or exthe entity's operations.	the report and and size, of the operations, key d organizational data.	
	b. The Objectives clearly depi and what was to be determine they are specific enough to clearly focused, defined, an	ned by the audit; present the audit in a	

<sup>\*</sup> All "No" responses require a comment by the Referencer.

\*\* All "N/A" responses require an explanation by the Referencer.

1/ Does not apply to financial statement audits or SAS 70 audits.

3.

# Yes No \* N/A \*\*

c.	The Scope:		
	(1) Relates the program universe to what was audited in order to place audit coverage into perspective.	 _	
	(2) Identifies the significant internal controls assessed and the extent of the assessment (when the audit objectives include an evaluation of internal controls).		
	(3) Describes the extent of systems testing (general and application controls or alternative data reliability tests) when computer-based data was relied upon.		
	(4) Describes the universe and sample design or explains the basis for judgmental selections, including any bias and problems in data quality.	-	
d.	The Methodology describes how the audit evidence was obtained, and what was reviewed, assessed, and/or analyzed.	 	
<u>Fin</u>	dings and Recommendations		
a.	The titles of the chapters identify the problem(s) being reported.	 	
b.	The condition, cause, effect, and criteria are clearly and concisely stated. Auditor developed criteria is based upon authoritative sources.		
c.	The sample (or judgmental selection) and the related universe of the program, operation, or activity are shown in order to place the finding in proper perspective. The methodology used to develop the finding is described.		

## Referencer Response Yes No \* N/A \*\* The findings contain sufficient, competent, and relevant evidence. Conclusions are reasonable and appropriate, given the audit disclosures. A convincing case is made that the report's recommendations should be enacted. Critical audit assertions are reasonable and supportable. These assertions are statements of fact which are integral to the audit findings. The classification of an internal control weakness or accounting system nonconformance as "material" is reasonable. A weakness not so classified (i.e., "immaterial") is also reasonable. Internal controls not prescribed, inadequate as prescribed, or adequate but not functioning as prescribed are noted when attributable to an adverse condition. Effect, using statistical projections or other monetary values, is fair and not misleading. Statistical estimates show sampling precision. į. The tone of the report encourages favorable reaction to findings and recommendations. k. Corrective action taken or planned during the audit has been reported. If additional action is required, it is described. Issues disclosed by the auditee to the auditor have been so recognized.

m. Attribution is provided for all testimonial evidence.

# Yes No \* N/A \*\*

		n.	Recommendations convey the proposed solution to the causes of the conditions presented in the findings and are sufficiently detailed in order to facilitate final action.			
B.			VERIFICATION AND VALIDATION OF THE REPORKPAPERS (Auditor's Responsibility)	ORT AND		
	1.	hea	adings in the Table of Contents agree with adings in the body of the report and sections and on the pages indicated.			
	2.	-	port sections and exhibits agree with and are proper order and format as prescribed in IGMs.			
	3.	acc	objectives identified in the scope are counted for in the report, with either sitive comments or audit findings.			<u>1</u> /
	4.	Sco	<u>ope</u>			
		a.	Shows the name and location of the auditee and the sites visited (or refers to an exhibit).		·	
		b.	Identifies programs, organizations, activities, and functions audited and shows the period of audit coverage, and when the audit was conducted.			
		c.	States whether the audit was made in accordance with appropriate standards, discloses any deviations, and includes statements of nonconformity. Discloses the scope limitations when the term "limited testing" is used.			
		d.	Refers to an exhibit if statistical sampling was used.			

### Referencer Response

Yes	_No *	N/A	**

5.	Findings and Recommendations				
	a.	Lengthy or complex regulatory citations are paraphrased and footnoted.			
	b.	If material internal control weaknesses or significant accounting system nonconformances are disclosed, a statement is made that the weakness/nonconformance should be included in the agency's upcoming FMFIA report.			
	c.	The use of names or other identifiers in the report are dealt with properly.			
	d.	Mathematical computations in the report are correct.			
	e.	Recommendations are placed at the end of each chapter and are sequentially numbered.			
	f.	If appropriate, a glossary of terms has been included to explain technical terms.			
6.	Exl	<u>hibits</u>			
	a.	Contain descriptive titles so that the reader can clearly understand what is presented.			
	b.	Are accurate, logical, orderly, clearly presented, and cross-referenced.			
	c.	On exhibit A, Summary of Monetary Results, questioned items are properly identified and compatible with CAPTAIN definitions.  Amounts can be reconciled to the details and amounts recommended for recovery can be reconciled to the recommendations.			

# Yes No \* N/A \*\*

7.	Ensure consistency of statements, facts, figures, opinions, conclusions, et al., throughout all report sections.				
8.	<u>Workpapers</u>				
	a.	The draft is cross-referenced to the workpapers, the summary workpapers to the detailed workpapers, and the workpapers to the program. Cross-referencing accurately identifies where the supporting documentation is located.			
	b.	The following can be traced and reconciled from the report to the workpapers:			
		(1) Statements of fact			
		(2) dates			
		(3) figures			
		(4) titles (to include regulatory, instructional, etc., citations)			
		(5) quotations/paraphrases of policies/laws/regulations			
		(6) dollar amounts			
		(7) comments by the auditees			
	c.	Evidence of ongoing supervisory reviews, such as comment sheets, trip reports, memorandums of conversation, etc., is on file. Workpapers are properly and timely reviewed by supervisors.			
	d.	If a judgmental sample was authorized in the audit program, the selection process is clearly identified.			

### Referencer Response

<u>Yes</u> <u>No \* N/A \*\*</u>

e.	If work of other auditors was relied on:		
	(1) Inquiries were made into the professional qualifications and independence of the auditors and is evidenced in the workpapers.	_	
	(2) The workpapers were reviewed.	 _	-
f.	Computer-generated audit applications are documented in the workpapers including the type of hardware and software used, description of program logic and methodologies, and location of computer tapes, disks or listings containing input-output data.		
g.	For reports where statistical sampling conclusions were used, evidence is on hand that the statistical quality control review has been completed and that an OIG statistician concurs in writing with the report's presentation of the statistical results.	 	
h.	If Management Alerts were issued during the audit they were coded into CAPTAIN.	 	
i.	Graphs, tables, and charts are used when practical in place of voluminous or complex narratives, and are appropriate, meaningful, and accurate.		
j.	The adequacy of corrective action taken on prior audits was evaluated.	 	
k.	Disclosure of material internal control weaknesses and/or accounting system nonconformances were provided to F&ADPO.	 	
1.	Testimonial evidence critical to the report was corroborated, when possible, via documentary evidence.		

# Yes No \* N/A \*\*

		m.	Written representation from the persons from whom testimonial evidence was obtained were secured when the evidence could not be otherwise corroborated.		
C.	REF	ERE	ENCING OF THE FINAL REPORT		
	1.	crit trac	changes to the final report that meet the eria for referencing, the following should be sed and reconciled from the report to the rkpapers:		
		a.	Facts		
		b.	dates		
		c.	figures		
		d.	titles (to include regulator, instructional, etc., citations)		
		e.	quotations/paraphrases of policies/laws/regulations		
		f.	dollar amounts		
		g.	comments by the auditees		
		h.	mathematical computations in the audit report are correct.		
	2.	rep	change is made to one section of the final ort, it is consistently made to all other licable sections.		
	3.	and per	e "agency response" section in the Findings Recommendations section clearly describes the tinent actions and timeframes proposed by the litee. Agreement, or lack thereof, to the		

monetary results in exhibit A is disclosed. If the

	Referencer Re	sponse Yes		**
	response is succinct, it should be reported verbatim. If the reply is lengthy, it should be paraphrased or summarized to depict only the pertinent action or timeframes.			2
4.	The "OIG Position" section expresses OIG's acceptance of the management decision, if appropriate. If the agency has proposed an alternative action that is acceptable, OIG should recognize this in the statement of agreement. If OIG does not agree that the management decision is adequate, our position is specifically stated, to include rebuttal and what the agency needs to do to gain OIG's acceptance. Similarly, OIG's acceptance/rebuttal with the agency position on exhibit A is included.			
5.	Critical data analysis steps have been reaccomplished, as needed.			
<u>2</u> / Agen	ncy responses are not attached to reports with audit opinion	ıs.		
SIGNA	ΓURE OF REFERENCING ARIG			
Draft Re	eport			
ARIG			Date	
Final Re	eport			
	 Date			ARIG

#### FINANCIAL AUDITS ADDITIONAL CHECKLIST FOR REFERENCING FINANCIAL STATEMENT **AUDIT REPORTS**

AUDIT	Γ NO	TITLE		
I have referen	reviewed the audit report and ced.	workpapers and detern	nined that they are ready to	be
	Auditor	Date		
	ARIG	Date		
Referei	ncing Date			
	Report		Referencer Respon	
A. <u>Al</u>	UDITOR'S REPORT/OPINIO	<u>ON</u>		
1.	The auditor's report states the principal statements ar in conformity with applica principles.	e presented		
2.	The principal statements a included and referred to in auditor's report.			
3.	If the principal statements conform with generally ac accounting principles (FEI the auditor's report:	cepted		
	a. States the basis of pre of the principal staten			

<sup>\*</sup> All "No" responses require a comment by the Referencer.
\*\* All "N/A" responses require an explanation by the Referencer.

## Referencer Response Yes No\* N/A\*\* b. Expresses an opinion or disclaims an opinion on whether the principal statements are presented in conformity with the basis of accounting described. The auditor's report makes reference to and covers all periods presented in the principal statements. If there has been a change in accounting principles or in the method of the application having a material effect on the comparability of the principal statements: The change is referred to in an explanatory paragraph of the report. The nature of the changes is identified. A note in the principal statements is referred to that discusses the change in detail or adequately discloses the nature of the change in an explanatory paragraph. 6. If the principal statements or the accompanying notes do not include all required disclosures e.g., significant accounting policies, the report and the opinion are appropriately modified. The auditor's report contains: The title of the audit report,

"Report of the Office of Inspector

General?"

### Referencer Response Yes No\* N/A\*\* The appropriate addressee. b. c. A statement that the principal statements identified in the report were audited. (See referencing step A11.) A statement that the principal statements are the responsibility of the entity's management and that the auditor's responsibility is to express an opinion on the principal statements based on the audit. (See referencing step A11.) A statement that the audit was conducted in accordance with Government auditing standards or the report states exceptions to in the case of a scope limitation. (See referencing step A11.) A statement that generally accepted government auditing standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the principal statements are free of material misstatement. (See referencing step A11.) A statement that an audit includes: examining on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the principal statements; assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management; and evaluating the overall principal statement presentation. (See referencing step A11.)

8.

# Referencer Response

			<u>Yes</u>	No*	N/A**	
	h.	A statement that the auditor believes that the audit provides a reasonable basis for the opinion. (See referencing step A11.)				 
	i.	An opinion as to whether the principal statements present fairly, in all material respects, the principal position of the entity as of the date of the principal statements for the period then ended in conformity with applicable account principles. (See referencing step A11	_			
-	j.	A date.				
	disc opir follo and disc	auditor's report includes required losures in explanatory and aion paragraphs for any of the owing circumstances, if applicable, for which a qualified, adverse, or laimer of opinion is not ropriate:				
	a.	Opinions based in part on the report of another auditor.				
	b.	Departures from prescribed accounting principles which, if reported otherwise, would result in misleading principal statements.				 
	c.	Uncertainties concerning future events.				
	d.	The entity's inability to continue as a going concern.				 
	e.	Material changes in accounting principles.				

		Referencer Resp	onse			
			Yes	No*	N/A**	
	f.	Revised prior period principal statements.	_			
	g.	Omission of financial data required by regulating agencies.				
	h.	Other information which is inconsistent with the principal statements.	_			
9.	sepa app refe	pplicable, the auditor's report udes substantive reasons in a arate explanatory paragraph(s), and ropriate qualifying language, and a erence to the explanatory para- ph(s) in the opinion paragraph for:				
	a.	A lack of sufficient competent evidential matter or restrictions on the scope of the audit that should lead to a conclusion that an unqualified opinion cannot be expressed and the criteria for a disclaimer of opinion is not met. (See referencing step A11.)	_			
	b.	Principal statements which contain a departure from applicable accounting principles, the effect of which is material, but which does not justify an adverse opinion.  (See referencing step A11.)	_			
10.	who con	ne principal statements taken as a ble are not presented fairly in formity with applicable accounting aciples, the auditor's report:				
	a.	Contains an expression of an adverse opinion.				

## Referencer Response Yes No\* N/A\*\* Discloses in a separate explanatory paragraph(s) all reasons for the adverse opinion and the principal effects on the principal statements, if practicable. Includes a direct reference in the opinion paragraph to a separate paragraph that discloses the basis for the adverse opinion. 11. If a disclaimer of opinion is issued on the principal statements, the report: Includes an introductory paragraph which states that the auditor attempted to perform an audit, rather than stating that an audit was performed. Omits the last sentence of the standard introductory paragraph since the auditor has not assumed the responsibility to express an opinion. Describes the reason(s) for disclaiming an opinion and fully describe the circumstances in the explanatory paragraph(s). Omits a discussion on audit procedures performed. Cites material departures or inconsistencies in the application of FED GAAP or the stated basis of accounting. Such departures are appropriately described in a separate explanatory paragraph, if any were identified.

			Referencer Res	sponse			
				Yes	No*	N/A**	
		f.	Includes in the opinion paragraph a reference to the scope limitation(s) described in the explanatory paragraphs and states explicitly that no opinion is expressed on the principal statements.	-			
C.	<u>RE</u>	POR'	T ON INTERNAL CONTROL STRUCTURE				
	1.	und	e auditors reported on their lerstanding of the entity's internal trol structure and the assessment of trol risk.	_			
	2.		e auditor's report on internal trol contains:				
		a.	A statement that the auditor has audited the principal statements and a reference to the auditor's report on the principal statements.  (See referencing step A11.)	-			
		b.	A statement that the audit was conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards. (See referencing step A11.)	-			
		c.	A statement that in planning and performing the audit of the principal statements, the auditor considered the entity's internal control structure in order to determine the auditing procedures for purposes of expressing an opinion on the principal statements and not provide assurance on the internal control structure.  (See referencing step A11.)				

noted.

### Referencer Response Yes No\* N/A\*\* A statement that the establishment and maintenance of the internal control structure are the responsibilities of management. An explanation of the broad objectives and inherent limitations of any internal control system. A description of the entity's significant internal control structure policies and procedures categories considered as part of the auditor's understanding of the structure. A description of the scope of the auditor's work stating that the auditor obtained an understanding of the design of relevant policies and procedures and whether those policies and procedures have been placed in operation, and control risk assessed. The definition of reportable conditions, and a description of the reportable conditions noted. The definition of material i. weaknesses A statement about whether the auditor believes any of the reportable conditions described in the report are material weaknesses, and if they are, identifies the material weaknesses

### Referencer Response Yes No\* N/A\*\* Include a disclaimer that the consideration of the internal control structure would not necessarily disclose all matters that might be reportable conditions or material weaknesses. Other statements as required by 1. OMB guidance to include appropriate discussion of the agency's Federal Managers' Financial Integrity Act submissions and auditors' concurrence or noncurrence with the agency's determination. 3. If other conditions were reported in a separate communication (management letter), such conditions were referred to in the report on internal controls. D. <u>REPORT ON COMPLIANCE</u> The auditor's report on the entity's compliance with laws and regulations includes: A statement that the auditor has audited the principal statements, and a reference to the auditor's report on the principal statements. (See referencing step A11.) A statement that the audit was conducted in accordance with government auditing standards. (See referencing step A11.) A statement that those standards require that the auditor plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the principal statements are free of material misstatement. (See referencing step A11.)

		Refe	rencer R	ncer Response			
			Yes	No*	N/A**		
	d.	A statement that management is responsible for compliance with laws and regulations.		_			
	e.	A statement that, as part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the principal statements are free of material misstatement, the auditor performed tests of compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations.		_			
	f.	A statement that the auditor's objective was not to provide an opinion on overall compliance with such provisions.	1	_			
2.		en reporting noncompliance, the itor's report on compliance includes:					
	a.	The definition of material instances of noncompliance.		_			
	b.	An identification of material instances of noncompliance noted.		_			
	c.	A statement that the noncompliance noted was considered in forming an opinion on whether the entity's principal statements are presented fairly, in all material respects, in conformity with applicable accounting principles.	e	_			
3.	the full the the	oresenting findings on noncompliance auditors described the noncompliance y, include adequate data to place finding in proper perspective, state effect (if determinable), and ude recommendations as appropriate	ce	_			

	Referencer	Response		
		Yes	No*	N/A**
4.	If the other nonmaterial instances of noncompliance were reported in a separate communication (management letter), such instances were referred to in the report on compliance.	-		
5.	If the auditors became aware of illegal acts or indications of such acts when auditing a government entity, the auditors promptly reported the evidence to the appropriate official of the auditee (unless the official is believed to be a party to such acts or otherwise implicated).	-		
OTH	IER REQUIREMENTS			
1.	Cross-referenced workpapers document that a lead schedule supports the account balance, if applicable, and the auditor and ARIG have agreed with and signed off on the auditor's conclusion.	-		
2.	If the report indicates a scope limitation/ restriction, and/or if the opinion is qualified, or includes matters of emphasis, the workpapers provide support for the opinion.	_		
3.	A summary of proposed audit adjustments has been prepared by the auditors and reviewed by SA and ARIG.	-		
4.	The auditors obtained a representation letter from the auditee in accordance with SAS requirements.	-		
5.	The auditors obtained a letter from the auditee's lawyer concerning litigation claims and assessments.			

E.

	<u>K</u>	eference	<u>er Ke</u>	sponse		
		<u>Y</u>	es	No*	N/A**	
6.	Issue Papers have been provided to the auditee as required by IG-7315.	he		-		
7.	If Issue Papers were used during the audit, their results were included in the draft report, if material, unless appropriate adjustments were made by the auditee.			-		 
<u>SIGNA</u>	TURE OF REFERENCERS					
Draft Re	<u>eport</u>					
	Auditor	Doto.				
1	Auditor	Date				
Final Re	<u>eport</u>					
	Auditor	 Date				

# FINANCIAL AUDITS ADDITIONAL CHECKLIST FOR REFERENCING SAS 70 AUDITS

AUDIT NO.	D TITLE_		
I have reviev referenced.	ewed the audit report and workpapers	s and determine	ed that they are ready to be
Audi	litor	Date	
ARIO	G	Date	
Referencing  Draft Repo	g Date ort		Referencer Response
Final Repo	Ort ΓΟR'S REPORT/OPINION		Yes No* N/A**
	pe I Report		
1. Th	ne report includes:		
a.	A specific reference to the applications, services, products, or other aspects of the service organization covered.		
b.	A description of the scope and na of the service auditor's procedures		
c.	Identification of the party specify the control objectives.	ing	

		Referencer Response		
		Yes	No*	N/A**
d.	An indication that the purpose of the service auditor's engagement was to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the service organization's description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of the service organization's policies and procedures that may be relevant to a user organization's internal control structure, (2) the policies and procedures were suitably designed to achieve specified control objectives, and (3) such policies and procedures had been placed in operation as of a specific date.			
e.	A disclaimer of opinion on the operating effectiveness of the policies and procedures.			
f.	The service auditor's opinion on whether the description presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of the service organization's policies and procedures that had been placed in operation as of a specific date and whether in the service auditor's opinion, the policies and procedures were suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the specified control objectives would be achieved if those policies and procedures were complied with satisfactorily. (If a disclaimer of opinion is issued, refer to referencing step 2.)			

				Refere	ncer Respons	e
			Yes	No*	<u>N/A**</u>	
	g.	A statement of inherent limitations of the potential effectiveness of the policies and procedures at the service organization and of the risk of projecting to future periods any evaluation of the description.				
	h.	Identification of the parties for whom the report is intended.				
2.		a disclaimer of opinion is issued, report:				
	a.	Includes an introductory paragraph which states that the auditor attempted to perform an audit, rather than stating that an audit was performed.				
	b.	Omits the last sentence of the standard introductory paragraph since the auditor has not assumed the responsibility to express an opinion.				
	c.	States the reason(s) for disclaiming an opinion and fully describe the circumstances in the explanatory paragraph(s).				
	d.	Omits a discussion on audit procedures performed.				
	e.	Cites the reasons for the disclaimer in a separate explanatory paragraph.				
3.	tion ple rep det	he auditor believes that the descrip- n is inaccurate or insufficiently com- te for user auditors, the auditor's fort so states and contains sufficient ail to provide user auditors with an propriate understanding.				

			Refe	rencer R	<u>esponse</u>
			Yes	No*	N/A**
4.	and	e report states whether the policies I procedures were suitably designed to nieve the specified control objectives.			
<u>For</u>	Тур	e II Report			
1.	The	e report includes:			
	a.	A specific reference to the applications, services, products, or other aspects of the service organization covered.			
	b.	A description of the scope and nature of the auditor's procedures.			
	c.	Identification of the party specifying the control objectives.			
	d.	An indication that the purpose of the auditor's engagement was to obtain reasonable assurance about whether (1) the service organization's description presents fairly, in all material respects, the aspects of the service organization's policies and procedures that may be relevant to a user organization's internal control structure, (2) the policies and procedures were suitably designed to achieve specified control objectives, and (3) such policies and procedures had been placed in operation as of a specific date. (If a disclaimer of opinion is issued, refer to referencing step 2.)			

Yes No\* N/A\*\*

- e. The auditor's opinion on whether the description presents fairly, in all material respects, the relevant aspects of the service organization's policies and procedures that had been placed in operation as of a specific data and whether in the service auditor's opinion, the policies and procedures were suitably designed to provide reasonable assurance that the specified control objectives would be achieved if those policies and procedures were complied with satisfactorily. (If a disclaimer of opinion is issued, refer to referencing step 2.)
- A reference to a description of tests of specified service organization policies and procedures designed to obtain evidence about the operating effectiveness of those policies and procedures in achieving specified control objectives. The description should include the policies and procedures that were tested, the control objectives the policies and procedures were intended to achieve: the tests applied, and results of the tests. The description should include an indication of the nature, timing and extent of the tests, as well as sufficient detail to enable user auditors to determine the effect of such tests on user auditors' assessments of control risk. To the extent that the service auditor identified causative factors for exceptions,

		Referencer Response		
		Yes	No*	N/A**
	determined the current status of corrective actions, or obtained other relevant qualitative information about exceptions noted, such information should be provided.			
g.	A statement of the period covered by the service auditor's report on the operating effectiveness of the specified policies and proce- dures.			
h.	The service auditor's opinion on whether the policies and procedures that were tested were operating with sufficient effectiveness to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the related control objectives were achieved during the period specified. (If a disclaimer of opinion is issued, refer to referencing step 2.)			
i.	When all of the control objectives listed in the description of policies and procedures placed in operation are not covered by tests of operating effectiveness, a statement that the service auditor does not express an opinion on control objectives not listed in the description of tests performed at the service organization.			

					Refere	erencer Response	
				Yes	No*	N/A**	
		j.	A statement that the relative effectiveness and significance of specific service organization policies and procedures and their effect on assessments of control risk at user organizations are dependent on their interaction with the policies, procedures, and other factors present at individual user organizations.				
		k.	A statement that the service auditor has performed no procedures to evaluate the effectiveness of policies and procedures at individual user organizations.				
		1.	A statement of inherent limitations of the potential effectiveness of policies and procedures at the organization and of the risk of projecting to the future any evaluation of the description or any conclusions about the effectiveness of policies and procedures in achieving control objectives.				
C.	OT	HER	REQUIREMENTS				
	1.	If the report indicates a scope limitation/ restriction, and/or if the opinion is qualified, or includes matters of emphasis, the workpapers provide support for the opinion.					
	2.		e auditors obtained a representation er from the auditee.				

Exhibit A-2(8) of IG-7323

			Referencer Response		
			Yes	No*	N/A**
3.	If Issue Papers were used during the audit, their results were included in the draft report, as appropriate.				
SIGNAT	<u>ΓURE OF REFERENCERS</u>				
Draft Re	<u>eport</u>				
	Auditor	Date			
Final Re	<u>eport</u>				
	Auditor	Date			

REFERI	ENCER'S	COMMENT SHEET			
D. 4	1		Audit SA's Ro	esponse	
Reference Step No.	Note No./ Page		Agree & Corrected	Disagree	
		Referencer's Comments			Auditor's Comments

<sup>1</sup> Referencer: Number each comment listed on the Referencer's Comment Sheet. circle each number and record the audit report page number associated with the comment. Record and circle the corresponding comment number on the referenced copy of the audit report next to the matter being commented upon.

## REFERENCER'S VERIFICATION OF RESOLUTION

dit No.:	Title:			
scuss Draft	Final Report (If Required):			
EFERENCING VERIFICATI	ION:			
	and during the referencing review of the above audit, which afactorily resolved as documented, and the report meets OI			
Signature (Referencer)	Date			
Signature (Referencing ARIG)	Date			
UDIT ARIG COMMENTS:				
ARIG	——————————————————————————————————————			
	Date			
<u>IG DECISION</u> :				
Comments/Action:				
RIG Date	<del></del>			